NG STATE UNIVERSITY



Research Question

• Do in-class laboratory activities on increase student le structures learning outcomes related to structures?

Acknowledgements

Jordan Connor for survey data entry. This work was funded by an NCSU OFD SoTL gra Google CS Engagement Award. NCSU IRB# 4169



An Investigation of In-class Labs on Student Learning of Linear Data Structures Sarah Heckman, Teaching Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science sarah_heckman@ncsu.edu

Study Methodology

ax	Metric				
	Enrolled				
	Participants				
ure/lab	Meeting Time Section 001				
sections 90	 Write tests for custom ArrayList 	L			
aents structor TAs for sections	 ArrayList of Strings Pass tests from List lab 				
	 Generic ArrayList Pass tests from List lab 				
sections	 References Linked Nodes Traversing Add at index 				
linear data arning on inear data	 Add at index Conceptual Questions remove() and coverage Another case 				
	Inspect 3 rd party library code Inspect) (
ant and by a	 Implement AbstractSequentialList 	lt			
		Ex			



	Mean Diff (S001-S002)	t	df	p- value
	-0.72	-2.46	99.18	0.02
	-0.38	-1.84	103.45	0.07
	-0.82	-1.84	98.35	0.07
	-1.92	-2.57	100.01	0.01
	-0.49	-0.64	103.54	0.53
	-3.37	-2.82	102.02	0.01
	-0.77	-0.94	99.51	0.35
	-0.15	-0.31	94.64	0.76
5	-0.45	-0.94	88.93	0.35
	-2.21	-0.40	102.35	0.69

No significant difference between in-class labs and active learning lecture!

```
E1 P4 Q8 & E1 P4 Total:
```

```
Section 001 had intervention
```

```
Section 002 had significantly better grades
E2 P3: Linked Node Question
```

Section 002 had intervention and significantly

Lecture [9/29/2014] : • Students frequently off-topic during lecture (~65%); less frequently off-topic during exercises (~23%) Few request for help In-class Lab [10/6/2014]: Students mostly engaged; only 9 disengaged during in-class lab portion Many request for help ~ 33

NC STATE UNIVERSITY